



0000061204

ORIGINAL

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

4700

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Richard MartinezPhone: [REDACTED]Fax: [REDACTED]Priority: Respond Within Five DaysOpinion No. 2006 - 54836Date: 8/28/2006Complaint Description: 08A Rate Case Items - OpposedFirst:Last:Complaint By:

Sean

Lass

Account Name:

Sean Lass

Home: [REDACTED]Street:

[REDACTED]

Work: (000) 000-0000City:

Tucson

CBR:State:

AZ Zip: 85748

is:Utility Company: Tucson Electric Power CompanyDivision:Contact Name: Annette SetherleyContact Phone: (520) 745-3385Nature of Complaint:

Received the following correspondence:

July 28-06

[REDACTED]

Sean Lass

[REDACTED]

Tucson, AZ 85748

[REDACTED]

To The "Company" E-01933A-05-0650

Read your notice only 3 times to really understand it (I am not very smart!). Lots of \$5 words. Anyway, reading between the line, All you want is to increase our Electric Bill. Why don't you say so? Look at my record, most of the time I pay more with all your funny charges than I use electricity! (That is not right anyway)

Of course I am against increase anyway! I am only a customer. I do want to be invited January 8, 2007 to voice my opinion-Black tie or casual!

*By the way this is about you wanting to Amend Decision No 62103.

Your grumpy customer,

Sean Lass

End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

SEP 01 2006

DOCKETED BY

[Signature]

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

2006 SEP - 1 P 3:30

RECEIVED

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

(E-01933A-05-0650)

ACC staff contacted customer who is opposed to Amend Decision #62103 as this would only give TEP more reason as to eventually raise their rates through either a factor or to support additional expenses due to the raising cost of gas. ACC told customer that his Opinion would entered into our database for the record and would be docketed so that the Commissioners would be able to read their concerns. CLOSED.

End of Comments

Date Completed: 8/31/2006

Opinion No. 2006 - 54836
